arXiv:1402.4715v1 [math.CO] 19 Feb 2014

AN ASYMPTOTIC FORMULA FOR THE NUMBER OF INTEGER POINTS IN MULTI-INDEX TRANSPORTATION POLYTOPES

DAVID BENSON-PUTNINS

For a wide class of $k_1 \times k_2 .... \times k_\nu$ multi-index transportation polytopes, we count the number of integer points contained in them. A simple closed form approximation is given whose relative error is asymptotically zero as the k_js go to infinity.

1. Introduction

A *ν-index transportation polytope* is a set of all hypercube arrays of non-negative numbers of the form

$$(t_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu})_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu=1}^{k_1,\ldots,k_\nu}$$

satisfying the following relations: Fix some arbitrary j with $1 \leq j \leq \nu$, and some arbitrary m_j with $1 \leq m_j \leq k_j$. Then there are constants $S_{m_j}^j$ for each such j and m_j such that

$$\sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_{j-1},m_{j+1},\ldots,m_\nu} t_{m_1 m_2 \ldots m_\nu} = S_{m_j}^j.$$

For such a j and m_j we call $S_{m_j}^j$ the m_jth *margin* in the jth direction. In the literature this is often referred to as a multi-index transportation polytope with *fixed* 1-*margins*, for example in [LO04], or a *planar* transportation polytope in the 3-index case, for example in [L+09].

In this paper we compute the number of integer points in ν-index transportation polytopes for $\nu \geq 3$ whose margins are sufficiently "smooth". Much work has been done in calculating asymptotic formulas for integer points in two-directional multi-index transportation polytopes, which are also known as contingency tables, in the sparse case in [GM07], and in the case of all equal margins in [CM10]. An asymptotic formula for the number of integer points in smooth two-directional multi-index transportation polytope has been calculated in [BH12], and formulas for the volume and number of integer points for smooth multi-index transportation polytope of five or more directions in [BH10]. It was not previously known that smooth three and four directional transportation polytopes allowed the same asymptotic formula. We will

Date: February 2014.

combine the approaches of these last two papers, combined with improved estimates on the variance of certain Gaussian random variables.

The layout of this paper is as follows: Section 2 describes a maximum entropy theorem of [BH10] and then formulates the main theorem of the paper. In particular, we are able to write the number of integer points in a transportation polyhedron as an integral using Fourier analysis, which we are able to approximate as an integral of the form $e^{-q(x)}$ for $q(x)$ a quadratic form. Section 3 through 6 contain technical results on properties of $q(x)$. In Section 7 we show the function we are integrating decays quickly away from the origin, and Section 8 contains the main proof of the theorem.

1.1. **The Polytope Constraints.** In what follows, a set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a polyhedron if it can be defined as

$$P = \{x = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \ : \ Ax = b \text{ and } \xi_j \geq 0 \text{ for all } j\}$$

for some A a $d \times n$ matrix of real numbers, and $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In this case the columns of A will be denoted $a_1, \ldots, a_n$. In particular for the ν-index transportation polytope defined earlier if we write a point in our hypercube array as

$$(\xi_{11\ldots1}, \xi_{11\ldots2}, \ldots, \xi_{11\ldots k_1}, \xi_{11\ldots121}, \ldots, \xi_{k_1k_2\ldots k_\nu})$$

with the coordinate $\xi_{m_1\ldots m_\nu}$ being the coordinate lying in the m_jth margin of the jth direction, then the transportation polytope fits the above definition with $n = \prod k_j$, and each $a_{m_1\ldots m_\nu}$ being a vector of length $k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_\nu$ that has all 0s, except for a 1 in positions m_1, $k_1 + m_2$, $k_1 + k_2 + m_3$,..., $k_1 + \ldots + k_{\nu-1} + m_\nu$. In this case b is then a vector whose entries are $S^j_{m_j}$ for each j and m_j.

It is important to note that the constraint matrix A does not have full rank. This is easily seen by observing that for each j

$$\sum_{m_j=1}^{k_j} S^j_{m_j}$$

must be the same value, as it gives the sum of all entries in the hypercube array. This is the only linear dependency amongst the constraints, and a basis of the constraints consists of removing the constraint on the k_jth margin in the jth direction for $j = 2, \ldots, \nu$. If $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{k_1+\ldots+k_\nu}$ is the subspace

$$L = \left\{(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k_1+\ldots+k_\nu}) \ : \ \xi_{k_1+\ldots+k_j} = 0 \text{ for all } 2 \leq j \leq \nu\right\}, \tag{1.1.1}$$

and $Q : \mathbb{R}^{k_1+\ldots+k_\nu} \to \mathbb{R}^{k_1+\ldots+k_\nu}$ is the orthogonal projection onto L, then QA is a full rank linear transformation from $\mathbb{R}^{k_1\ldots k_\nu} \to L$ and the system of constraints $QAx = Qb$ is equivalent to selecting a basis of constraints for P.

1.2. **Notation.** For any subspace $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, when we integrate over V the measure used is always the induced Lebesgue measure on V given by $\mathbb{R}^n$. The inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ will always be the standard dot product of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Recall if $q(t)$ is a positive semidefinite quadratic form on $\mathbb{R}^d$, then there exists a positive semidefinite symmetric matrix B such that $q(t) = \langle t, Bt \rangle$. If $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a linear subspace, then $q|_L(t)$ denotes the quadratic form restricted to the subspace L. If $q(t)$ is positive semidefinite, then $q|_L(\mathrm{t})$ is positive semidefinite as well, and if $Q : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is the orthogonal projection onto L, $q|_L(t) = \langle t, QBQt \rangle$ for all $t \in L$. Furthermore QBQ is a positive semidefinite symmetric $d \times d$ matrix, whose kernel includes $L^\perp$, so there exists a basis of orthogonal eigenvectors that all lie in L or $L^\perp$. By $\det(q)$ we mean the product of the eigenvalues of B, by $\det(q|_L)$ we mean the product of the eigenvalues of QBQ that lie in L.

In Theorem 2.2 constants ω, r and R will be defined. All asymptotic O, Θ and Ω notation and all declarations of existence of constants will treat these values as absolute constants unless otherwise noted.

In a list of variables, such as $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n$, the notation $m_1, \ldots, \hat{m}_j, \ldots m_n$ is the list of every variable m_i except for the jth one.

2. Maximum Entropy and Integer Points

To count integer points we use Theorem 4 of [BH10]. Recall that a discrete random variable x has a geometric distribution if for some $p, q \geq 0$ with $p + q = 1$

$$\mathbf{Pr}(x = i) = pq^i \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \ .$$

In this case, the expected value of x is

$$\mathbf{E}x = \frac{q}{p}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the intersection of an affine subspace in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the non-negative orthant $\mathbb{R}^n_+$. Suppose that P is bounded and has a non-empty interior, that is a point $y = (\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n)$ where $\eta_j > 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Then the strictly*

concave function

$$g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left((\xi_j + 1) \ln(\xi_j + 1) - \xi_j \ln(\xi_j) \right)$$

attains its maximum value on P at a unique point $z = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n)$ such that $\zeta_j > 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Furthermore, suppose $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are independent geometric random variables with expectations ζ_j, and let $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Then the probability mass function of X is constant on $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ and equal to $e^{-g(z)}$ at every $x \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. In particular,

$$|P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = e^{g(z)} \mathbf{Pr}\left(X \in P\right).$$

Lemma 13 of [BH10] uses the characteristic function of the probability density to show that for a polytope P, we have

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left(X \in P\right) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\Pi} e^{-i\langle t, b\rangle} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 + \zeta_j - \zeta_j e^{i\langle a_j, t\rangle}} dt, \tag{2.0.1}$$

where $\Pi = [-\pi, \pi]^d$. We will apply this result to count the number of integer points in a multi-index transportation polytope to arrive at the main result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let P be a $k_1 \times k_2 \times \ldots \times k_\nu$ multi-index transportation polytope with $\nu \geq 3$ defined by the system $Ax = b$, $x \geq 0$ as in subsection 1.1, and let $0 < \omega$ be a fixed constant such that there exists an integer k such that $\omega k \leq k_j \leq k$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \nu$. Let $z = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n)$ be the point maximizing*

$$g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left((\xi_j + 1) \ln(\xi_j + 1) - \xi_j \ln(\xi_j) \right) \text{ for } x = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n)$$

inside of P, and suppose there are constants r and R such that

$$r \leq \zeta_j \leq R \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Let $\tilde{A}$ be the $d \times n$ matrix whose j-th column is $(\zeta_j^2 + \zeta_j)^{1/2} a_j$, and let $q(t) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be the quadratic form

$$q(t) = \left\langle t, \tilde{A}\tilde{A}^t t \right\rangle.$$

Let L be the subspace defined in Equation 1.1.1. Then the number of multi-way contingency tables contained in P is approximated by

$$\frac{e^{g(z)}}{(4\pi)^{(k_1 + \ldots + k_\nu - \nu + 1)/2} \left(\det q|_L\right)^{1/2}}$$

to within relative error $C/k^{\nu - 2.5}$ for some constant $C = C(r, R, \omega) > 0$ depending only on r, R and ω.

The asymptotic formula of Theorem 2.2 for $\nu \geq 5$ is calculated in [BH10] with weaker polynomial error bounds. For the $\nu = 2$ case an asymptotic formula is calculated in [BH12]; it differs from the $\nu \geq 3$ case by a constant factor called the *Edgeworth correction*. The condition that $\omega k \leq k_j \leq k$ says that as the size of the array goes to infinity, the dimensions grow roughly linearly. If $k_j = k$ for all j, and every margin is set to be $k^{\nu-1}$, then every ζ_j in the theorem will be exactly equal to 1. The condition that $r \leq \zeta_j \leq R$ is a restriction that the polytope cannot differ too much from this symmetric case; transportation polytopes satisfying this condition are called (r/R)-*smooth*. The asymptotic counting formula in Theorem 2.2 is simply

$$\frac{e^{g(z)}}{(2\pi)^{k_1+\ldots+k_\nu-\nu+1}} \int_L e^{-q(t)} dt,$$

so by Equation 2.0.1 it suffices to show that there exists some constant $C > 0$ depending on r, R and ω such that

$$\left| \int_L e^{-q(t)} dt - \int_\Pi F(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{C}{k^{\nu-2.5}}. \tag{2.0.2}$$

where

$$F(t) = e^{-i\langle t,b\rangle} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1+\zeta_j - \zeta_j e^{i\langle a,t\rangle}} dt.$$

The next three sections will be spent proving results necessary to calculate the integral of $e^{-q(t)}$ over different regions of L. Note that $q(t)$ can be expressed as

$$q(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m_1,\ldots m_\nu}^{k_1 \ldots k_\nu} \left(\zeta_{m_1\ldots m_\nu} + \zeta^2_{m_1\ldots m_\nu}\right) \left(\tau_{1m_1} + \ldots + \tau_{\nu m_\nu}\right)^2 \tag{2.0.3}$$

$$\text{for } t = \left(\tau_{11}, \tau_{12}, \ldots, \tau_{1k_1}, \tau_{21}, \ldots, \tau_{2k_2}, \ldots, \tau_{\nu k_\nu}\right).$$

3. Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Let $q(t)$ be as defined in Equation 2.0.3 satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.2, and let B be the symmetric positive semi-definite matrix such that $q(t) = \langle t, Bt\rangle$. Note that $q(t)$ is precisely the quadratic form of Theorem 2.2 with $B = \tilde{A}\tilde{A}^t$. In this section we calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $q(t)$, and the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $q(t)$ when restricted to the subspace L defined in Equation 1.1.1. If Q is the orthogonal projection onto L this corresponds to calculating the non-zero eigenvalues of QBQ and their corresponding eigenvectors. We will use Corollary 7.7.4 of [HJ85]:

Lemma 3.1. *Let A and B be positive semidefinite $m \times m$ matrices such that $A - B$ is positive semidefinite. Denoting the ith largest eigenvalue of a symmetric matrix C as $\lambda_i(C)$, then*

$$\lambda_i(A) \geq \lambda_i(B) \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, m.$$

For notational convenience we will write

$$k'_j = \prod_{\substack{i=1,\ldots,\nu \\ i \neq j}} k_i,$$

and also

$$\alpha_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu} = \zeta_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu} + \zeta^2_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu}.$$

3.1. **Spectral Decomposition of $q(x)$.** If $q(t) = \langle t, Bt \rangle$ we can calculate B easily by observing that

$$\nabla q(t) = 2Bt,$$

and then calculating the gradient exactly. First we consider the case that $\alpha_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu} = 1$ for all $m_1, \ldots, m_\nu$. Then for all $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ and $1 \leq m_j \leq k_j$,

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t_{jm_j}} = \sum_{m_1,..,\hat{m}_j,\ldots,m_\nu}^{k_1,\ldots,\hat{k}_j,\ldots,k_\nu} (\tau_{1m_1} + \ldots + \tau_{\nu m_\nu})$$

and re-arranging gives us

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t_{jm_j}} = k'_j \tau_{jm_j} + \sum_{m_1,\ldots,\hat{m}_j,\ldots,m_\nu}^{k_1,\ldots,\hat{k}_j,\ldots,k_\nu} \left(\tau_{1m_1} + \ldots + \hat{\tau}_{jm_j} + \ldots + \tau_{\nu m_\nu}\right). \tag{3.1.1}$$

From this it is immediately clear by substituting in the relevant vectors that for any $1 \leq j \leq \nu$, any non vector contained in

$$W_j = \left\{ (\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k_1+\ldots+k_{j-1}}, \tau_{j1}, \ldots, \tau_{jk_j}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k_{j+1}+\ldots+k_\nu}) \ : \ \sum_{i=1}^{k_j} \tau_{ji} = 0 \right\}$$

is an eigenvector of B with eigenvalue $\frac{1}{2}k'_j$. As B has a basis of orthogonal eigenvectors, we can complete the description of its eigenspace by considering vectors orthogonal to each W_j, which are of the form

$$(\underbrace{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_1}_{k_1}, \underbrace{\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_2}_{k_2}, \ldots, \underbrace{\sigma_\nu, \ldots, \sigma_\nu}_{k_\nu}).$$

If $\sigma_1 + \ldots + \sigma_\nu = 0$ then this is in the kernel of B so is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 0. By dimension counting there is one remaining eigenvector, which has $\sigma_j = k'_j$ for all j and has an eigenvalue of

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} k'_j.$$

If instead we have $r < \zeta_{m_1 \ldots m_\nu} < R$ for constants r and R, the set of vectors of the form

$$(\underbrace{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_1}_{k_1}, \underbrace{\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_2}_{k_2}, \ldots, \underbrace{\sigma_\nu, \ldots, \sigma_\nu}_{k_\nu}) \text{ such that } s_1 + \ldots + s_\nu = 0$$

still form the kernel of B, and the remaining eigenvectors will be orthogonal to this space. By Lemma 3.1, for $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ B will have $k_j - 1$ eigenvectors with eigenvalues between

$$\frac{r + r^2}{2} k'_j \text{ and } \frac{R + R^2}{2} k'_j,$$

and one eigenvector with eigenvalue between

$$\frac{r + r^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} k'_j \text{ and } \frac{R + R^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} k'_j.$$

3.2. **Restriction to L.** In this subsection we consider the restriction of $q(t)$ to L, and calculate the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of $q|_L$. We start first with a technical lemma.

Lemma 3.2. (The Weyl Inequalities)*: Let S and T be $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices, and let $\lambda_i(T)$ be the ith largest eigenvalue of T. Then*

$$\lambda_{i+j-1}(S + T) \leq \lambda_i(S) + \lambda_j(T)$$

as long as $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ such that $i + j - 1 \leq n$.

This inequality is shown in Section 1.3.3 of [Ta12].

At this point we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $q(t)$ be the quadratic form defined in Equation 2.0.3 and L the linear subspace defined in Equation 1.1.1, and let Q be orthogonal projection onto L. Then QBQ has the following eigenvectors and eigenvalues: the $\nu - 1$ dimension kernel of Q of vectors of the form*

$$(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k_1 + \ldots + k_{j-1}}, t_{j1}, \ldots, t_{j(k_j - 1)}, 0, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k_{j+1} + \ldots + k_\nu}),$$

$\nu - 1$ unit eigenvectors with eigenvalues that are $\Theta(k^{\nu-2})$ such that the square of the distance of each eigenvector to $\ker(B)$ is $O(1/k)$, and the remaining eigenvalues are $\Theta(k^{\nu-1})$.

Proof. We will first prove the result when $\alpha_{m_1 \dots m_\nu} = 1$ for all $m_1, \dots, m_\nu$. Then we immediately get that $W_j \cap L$ are eigenspaces of QBQ with eigenvalues $k'_j = \Theta(k^{\nu-1})$. $W_1 \cap L = W_1$ has dimension k_1, and $W_j \cap L$ has codimension 1 in W_j so is a subspace of L of dimension $k_j - 1$, so by dimension counting we are left with ν eigenvectors of QBQ in L that are unaccounted for. They must be of the form

$$s = (\underbrace{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_1}_{k_1}, \underbrace{\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_2}_{k_2 - 1}, 0, \underbrace{\sigma_3, \dots, \sigma_3}_{k_3 - 1}, 0, \dots, \underbrace{\sigma_\nu, \dots, \sigma_\nu}_{k_\nu - 1}, 0) \tag{3.2.1}$$

as they are orthogonal to the eigenspaces of QBQ that we have calculated so far. Call the space of all such vectors V. To calculate $QBQs$ for $s \in V$, which we can write as QBs as s lies in the image of Q, we can decompose s into a linear span of the $2\nu - 1$ remaining eigenvectors of B orthogonal to $W_j \cap L$ for all j. These are the kernel vectors

$$(\underbrace{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_1}_{k_1}, \underbrace{\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_2}_{k_2}, \dots, \underbrace{\sigma_\nu, \dots, \sigma_\nu}_{k_\nu}) \text{ with } \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \sigma_j = 0, \tag{3.2.2}$$

the vector

$$v_0 = (\underbrace{k'_1, \dots, k'_1}_{k_1}, \underbrace{k'_2, \dots, k'_2}_{k_2}, \dots, \underbrace{k'_\nu, \dots, k'_\nu}_{k_\nu})$$

and one vector from each W_j for $j \neq 1$ of the form

$$v_j = (\underbrace{0, 0 \dots, 0}_{k_1 + \dots + k_{j-1}}, \underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{k_j - 1}, 1 - k_j, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{k_{j+1} + \dots + k_\nu}).$$

We can calculate the projection of s in the form of 3.2.1 onto the remaining eigenvectors of B with nonzero eigenvalue. The projection of s onto the span of v_j by definition is

$$\frac{\langle v_j, s \rangle}{\langle v_j, v_j \rangle} v_j.$$

Let

$$u_0 = Qv_0 = (\underbrace{k'_1, .., k'_1}_{k_1}, \underbrace{k'_2, \dots, k'_2}_{k_2 - 1}, 0, \underbrace{k'_3, \dots, k'_3}_{k_3 - 1}, 0, \dots, \underbrace{k'_\nu, \dots, k'_\nu}_{k_\nu - 1}, 0), \tag{3.2.3}$$

and for $j > 1$,

$$u_j = Qv_j = (\ \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k_1 + \dots + k_{j-1}}\ , \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k_j - 1}, 0, \ \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k_{j+1} + \dots + k_\nu}\ ). \tag{3.2.4}$$

As s lies in the image of Q, $\langle v_j, s \rangle = \langle Qv_j, s \rangle = \langle u_j, s \rangle$ for all j. Therefore, as B kills the projection of s onto the kernel of B, by the above projections we get that when

restricting to V

$$QBQs = \frac{\langle u_0, s\rangle}{\langle v_0, v_0\rangle} QBv_0 + \sum_{j\geq 2} \frac{\langle u_j, s\rangle}{\langle v_j, v_j\rangle} QBv_j.$$

By the eigenvalues of the v_js calculated in Subsection 3.1, along with Equations 3.2.3 and 3.2.4, we get

$$QBQ_V = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} k'_j}{\langle v_0, v_0\rangle} u_0 u_0^t + \sum_{j\geq 2} \frac{k'_j}{\langle v_j, v_j\rangle} u_j u_j^t.$$

We can then write $QBQ_V = C + D$ where

$$C = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} k'_j}{\langle v_0, v_0\rangle} u_0 u_0^t,$$

is a rank one symmetric matrix with with nonzero eigenvector u_0 and eigenvalue

$$\frac{\langle u_0, u_0\rangle}{\langle v_0, v_0\rangle} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} k'_j = \Theta(k^{\nu-1}),$$

and

$$D = \sum_{j\geq 2} \frac{k'_j}{\langle v_j, v_j\rangle} u_j u_j^t$$

which is a rank $\nu - 1$ linear transformation that has nonzero eigenvectors u_j for $j \geq 2$ of eigenvalue

$$\frac{\langle u_j, u_j\rangle\, k'_j}{\langle v_j, v_j\rangle} = \Theta(k^{\nu-2}).$$

By Lemma 3.2, still restricting ourselves to these linear operators only on V we get by plugging in $i = 2$ and $j = 1$

$$\lambda_2(QBQ) \leq \lambda_2(C) + \lambda_1(D) = O(k^{\nu-2}). \tag{3.2.5}$$

Furthermore,

$$\lambda_1(QBQ) \geq \lambda_1(C) = \Theta(k^{\nu-1}),$$

and every eigenvalue of QBQ must be $O(k^{\nu-1})$ as this is true for B itself, so we have shown that all but $\nu - 1$ eigenvalues of QBQ on L are $\Theta(k^{\nu-1})$. To complete the proof it suffices to show that there is some constant $c > 0$ depending only on ω such that

$$\lambda_\nu(QBQ) \geq ck^{\nu-2}$$

to show that the remaining eigenvalues of QBQ on V are $\Theta(k^{\nu-2})$. We can find a lower bound on $\lambda_\nu(QBQ)$ by noting that if $t \in L$ is an eigenvector of QBQ with eigenvalue λ, then $q(t) = \lambda||t||^2$. So it suffices to find a lower bound on $q(t)$ for $t \in L$. If we decompose t as $t = w_1 + w_2$ with $w_1 \in \ker(B)$, and $w_2 \perp \ker(B)$,

then $q(t) = q(w_2) \geq \omega^{\nu-1}k^{\nu-1}||w_2||^2$ by the spectral decomposition of $q(t)$ from Subsection 3.1.

Therefore it suffices to show that given any $t \in L$, the square of the norm of the projection of t onto the span of the non-zero eigenvectors of B is at least $(\gamma_1/k)||t||^2$ for constant $\gamma_1 > 0$, where γ_1 may depend on ω. Equivalently, that the square of the norm of the projection of t onto $\ker(B)$ is at most $(1-\gamma_1/k)||t||^2$. We can restrict ourselves to t of the form given in Equation 3.2.1. Let T be the orthogonal projection onto the kernel of B. If

$$Tt = \langle t, u\rangle\, u$$

for some unit vector $u \in \ker(B)$, then

$$\frac{||Tt||^2}{||t||^2} = \frac{\langle t, u\rangle^2}{||t||^2}.$$

Furthermore,

$$||Qu||^2 \geq \frac{\langle t, u\rangle^2}{||t||^2}$$

as the projection of u onto L is at least as large as the projection of u onto the span of t. Combining these, it suffices to show that for $u \in \ker(B)$ with $||u||^2 = 1$, that

$$||Qu||^2 \leq (1-\gamma_2/k) \text{ for some constant } \gamma_2 > 0,$$

or equivalently that

$$||(Id-Q)u||^2 \geq \gamma_2/k.$$

Recall that every $u \in \ker(B)$ is in the form given in Equation 3.2.2. It suffices to find a lower bound for

$$||(Id-Q)u||^2 = \sum_{j=2}^{\nu} \sigma_j^2$$

under the conditions that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} k_j\sigma_j^2 = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \sigma_j = 0.$$

Substituting $-\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 + \ldots + \sigma_\nu$, we reduce this to the problem

$$\text{Minimize } \sum_{j=2}^{\nu} \sigma_j^2 \text{ given } k_1\left(\sum_{j=2}^{\nu} \sigma_j\right)^2 + \sum_{j=2}^{\nu} k_j\sigma_j^2 = 1.$$

If $(\beta_2, \beta_3, \ldots, \beta_\nu)$ is a minimum, then every β_j must have the same sign. If not, then

$$k_1\left(\sum_{j=2}^{\nu} |\beta_j|\right)^2 + \sum_{j=2}^{\nu} k_j\beta_j^2 \geq k_1\left(\sum_{j=2}^{\nu} \beta_j\right)^2 + \sum_{j=2}^{\nu} k_j\beta_j^2 = 1,$$

and therefore we can take the vector $(|\beta_2|, \ldots, |\beta_\nu|)$ and scale it down to find a smaller minimum satisfying the constraints. Without loss of generality we can assume that every entry is positive. If the ith entry is the largest, then

$$\left((\nu-1)^2 k_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\nu} k_j\right)\beta_i^2 \geq k_1\left(\sum_{j=2}^{\nu}\beta_j\right)^2 + \sum_{j=2}^{\nu} k_j\beta_j^2 = 1,$$

so

$$\beta_i^2 \geq \frac{1}{(\nu-1)^2 k_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\nu} k_j}$$

and therefore so is $\sum \beta_j^2$. Hence

$$||(Id - Q)u||^2 \geq \frac{\gamma_2}{k}||u||^2$$

for some constant $\gamma_2 > 0$ depending on ω only, which completes the proof that on V,

$$\lambda_\nu(QBQ) = \Omega(k^{\nu-2}).$$

Therefore by 3.2.5 and the above, we get that QBQ has $\nu - 1$ eigenvalues which are $\Theta(k^{\nu-1})$. This completes the proof of the theorem in the case that $\alpha_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu} = 1$ for all $m_1, \ldots, m_\nu$.

By Lemma 3.1, if $r + r^2 \leq \alpha_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu} \leq R + R^2$ for all $m_1, \ldots, m_\nu$ then $q|_L$ is within a constant factor of the above case, so all its eigenvalues are within a constant factor of the ones calculated above. In particular, two are $\Theta(k^{\nu-2})$ and the rest are $\Theta(k^{\nu-1})$ as required. Furthermore if t is a unit eigenvector whose eigenvalue is smaller than $\gamma k^{\nu-2}$ for some constant $\gamma > 0$ depending only on ω, r and R, then writing

$$t = \alpha_t t_1 + \beta_t t_2$$

with $t_1 \in \ker(B)$, $t_2 \in \mathrm{im}(B)$, we get that

$$q(t) \geq \beta_t^2 \gamma_1 k^{\nu-1}$$

and hence

$$\beta_t^2 \leq \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_1 k}$$

which completes the proof by showing that the square of the distance from t to the kernel of B is $O(1/k)$.

□

4. Variances

We consider the probability density proportional to $e^{-q(t)}$ restricted to L. We estimate the measure of this density outside of a small box around the origin in L and show that it is negligible. To do so we will consider random variables of the form $\langle t, v\rangle$ for a fixed vector v when t is drawn from the distribution with density proportional to $e^{-q(t)}$. In general, if $\psi(t)$ is a positive definite quadratic form on a vector space V of dimension d with unit eigenvectors $v_1, \ldots, v_d$ and eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d$, and t is drawn randomly from the distribution whose density is proportional to $e^{-\frac{1}{2}\psi(t)}$ on V, and $u \in V$ is fixed, then $\langle u, t\rangle$ is a normal random variable with variance

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \langle u, v_i\rangle^2 . \tag{4.0.1}$$

Before we begin the main result we require a technical lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let e_j be a standard basis vector of $\mathbb{R}^{k_1+\ldots+k_\nu}$, and let T be the orthogonal projection onto the kernel of $q(t)$. Then*

$$\left(\frac{(\nu-1)^2\omega^{2\nu-1}}{(\nu-1)^2+\nu-2}\right)\frac{1}{k} \;\leq\; ||Te_j||_\infty \;\leq\; \left(\frac{(\nu-1)^2}{\omega^{2\nu-1}(\nu-1)^2+\nu-2}\right)\frac{1}{k}.$$

Proof. For notational simplicity we assume that e_j corresponds to one of the first k_1 entries. An orthogonal basis of the kernel of $q(t)$ can be written as follows:

$$u_{\nu-1} = (\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{k_1+\ldots+k_{\nu-2}}, \underbrace{-k'_\nu,\ldots,-k'_\nu}_{k_{\nu-1}}, \underbrace{k'_\nu,\ldots,k'_\nu}_{k_\nu})$$

$$u_{\nu-2} = (\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{k_1+\ldots+k_{\nu-3}}, \underbrace{-(k'_{\nu-1}+k'_\nu),\ldots,-(k'_{\nu-1}+k'_\nu)}_{k_{\nu-2}}, \underbrace{k'_{\nu-1},\ldots,k'_{\nu-1}}_{k_{\nu-1}}, \underbrace{k'_\nu,\ldots,k'_\nu}_{k_\nu}),$$

and for any $2 \leq i \leq \nu$, u_{i-1} is given by

$$(\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{k_1+\ldots k_{i-2}}, \underbrace{-(k'_i+\ldots+k'_\nu),\ldots,-(k'_i+\ldots+k'_\nu)}_{k_{i-1}}, \underbrace{k'_i,\ldots,k'_i}_{k_i}, \ldots, \underbrace{k'_\nu,\ldots,k'_\nu}_{k_\nu}),$$

culminating with

$$u_1 = (\underbrace{-(k'_2+\ldots+k'_\nu),\ldots,-(k'_2+\ldots+k'_\nu)}_{k_1}, \underbrace{k'_2,\ldots,k'_2}_{k_2}, \underbrace{k'_3,\ldots,k'_3}_{k_3}, \ldots, \underbrace{k'_\nu,\ldots,k'_\nu}_{k_\nu}).$$

It is easy to see by construction that each u_i lies in the kernel of $q(t)$, and by dimension counting they therefore form a basis. To see that they form an orthogonal set, for any $i < l$

$$\langle u_i, u_l\rangle = -k_l k'_l \left(\sum_{p=l+1}^{\nu} k'_p\right) + \sum_{p=l+1}^{\nu} k_p (k'_p)^2.$$

As $k_p k'_p = k_1 k_2 \dots k_\nu$ for any p, we can re-write this as

$$-(k_1 k_2 \dots k_\nu)\left(\sum_{p=l+1}^{\nu} k'_p\right) + (k_1 k_2 \dots k_\nu)\sum_{p=l+1}^{\nu} k'_p = 0.$$

Then e_j is orthogonal to u_i for all $i > 1$, and therefore the projection of e_j onto the kernel of $q(t)$ is simply

$$\frac{\langle e_j, u_1\rangle}{\langle u_1, u_1\rangle}u_1 = \frac{-(k'_2 + \dots + k'_\nu)}{k_1(k'_2 + \dots + k'_\nu)^2 + k_2 k_2'^2 + \dots + k_\nu k_\nu'^2}u_1.$$

Combining

$$\frac{(\nu-1)\omega^\nu}{(\nu-1)^2 + \nu - 2}k^{-\nu} \leq \left|\frac{-(k'_2 + \dots + k'_\nu)}{k_1(k'_2 + \dots + k'_\nu)^2 + k_2 k_2'^2 + \dots + k_\nu k_\nu'^2}\right| \text{ and}$$

$$\left|\frac{-(k'_2 + \dots + k'_\nu)}{k_1(k'_2 + \dots + k'_\nu)^2 + k_2 k_2'^2 + \dots + k_\nu k_\nu'^2}\right| \leq \frac{(\nu-1)}{\omega^{2\nu-1}\left((\nu-1)^2 + \nu - 2\right)}k^{-\nu}$$

along with

$$(\nu-1)\omega^{\nu-1}k^{\nu-1} \leq ||u_1||_\infty \leq (\nu-1)k^{\nu-1}$$

completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $q(t)$ be the quadratic form and L the subspace defined in Section 3, and suppose t is drawn from the distribution with density proportional to $e^{-q(t)}$ restricted to L. Then if e_j is a standard basis vector which is contained in L, the random variable $\langle t, e_j\rangle$ has variance at most*

$$\frac{C}{k^{\nu-1}}$$

for some absolute constant $C > 0$ depending only on ω, r and R.

Proof. We apply Equation 4.0.1 with $V = L$, $u = e_j$ a standard basis vector contained in L, and $\psi(t) = 2q(t)$ restricted to L. By Lemma 3.3, we have $\nu - 1$ orthogonal unit eigenvectors $v_1, \dots, v_{\nu-1}$ with eigenvalue $\Theta(k^{\nu-2})$, and the remaining $k_1 + \dots + k_\nu - \nu + 1$ eigenvectors have eigenvalues $\Theta(k^{\nu-1})$. Therefore the variance we get in 4.0.1 is bounded above by

$$C_1\frac{1}{k^{\nu-1}} + C_2\sum_{i=1}^{\nu-1}\frac{\langle e_j, v_i\rangle^2}{k^{\nu-2}}, \tag{4.0.2}$$

where $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ are absolute constants depending only on ω, r and R. If T is the orthogonal projection onto the kernel of B, then for $1 \leq i \leq \nu - 1$

$$||Tv_i|| \geq 1 - \frac{\gamma}{k} \tag{4.0.3}$$

for some constant γ depending on ω, r and R. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.1,

$$||Te_j|| \leq \sqrt{k_1 + \ldots + k_\nu}||Te_j||_\infty = \Theta(1/\sqrt{k}).$$

Let P_i be the projection onto the span of v_i. Then

$$||TP_ie_j|| \leq ||Qe_j|| \leq= \Theta(1/\sqrt{k}),$$

but applying T to P_ie_j can reduce its norm by at most a factor of 2 if k is large enough by Equation 4.0.3. Therefore $||P_ie_j|| = \Theta(1/\sqrt{k})$, and hence

$$\langle v_i, e_j \rangle^2 \leq \frac{C_3}{k}$$

for some absolute constant $C_3 > 0$ depending on ω, r and R. Plugging this into Equation 4.0.2 completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let X be a normal variable with variance σ^2 and $\mathbf{E}(X) = 0$ Then*

$$\mathbf{Pr}(|X| \geq \tau) \leq e^{-\tau^2/(2\sigma^2)}.$$

Proof. We use the well known result that if X is a standard normal variable then

$$P(|X| \geq \tau) \leq e^{-\tau^2/2}.$$

If X has variance σ^2, then X/σ is the standard normal variable, so

$$P(|X/\sigma| \geq \tau) = P(|X| \geq \sigma\tau) \leq e^{-\tau^2/2}$$

Replacing $\sigma\tau$ in the probability with τ gives us

$$P(|X| \geq \tau) \leq e^{-(\tau/\sigma)^2/2}$$

which completes the proof. □

Combining 4.2 and 4.3, along with a union bound gives us

Lemma 4.4. *Let*

$$X_\beta = \{t \in L \mid ||t||_\infty \geq \beta\}.$$

Then

$$\int_{X_\beta} e^{-q(t)}dt \leq Cke^{-ck^{\nu-1}\beta^2} \int_L e^{-q(t)}dt,$$

where $c, C > 0$ are absolute constants depending only on ω, r and R, and the measure on X_β is the induced subspace measure on L.

5. Correlations

If we draw

$$t = (\tau_{11}, \ldots, \tau_{1k_1}, \tau_{21}, \ldots, \tau_{2k_2}, \ldots, \tau_{\nu 1}, \ldots, \tau_{\nu k_\nu})$$

from L with density proportional to $e^{-q(t)}$, then we can treat the individual coordinates τ_{ij} as random variables. For notational purposes it will sometimes be convenient to write the same vector as

$$t = (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{k_1+k_2+\ldots+k_\nu}),$$

so for example $\tau_{11} = \chi_1$ and $\tau_{22} = \chi_{k_1+2}$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $q(t)$ be the quadratic form defined in section 3, and let M be any subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{k_1+k_2+\ldots+k_\nu}$ of codimension $\nu-1$ not containing any non-zero vector from the kernel of $q(t)$. Suppose that $t \in M$ is drawn from the distribution with density proportional to $e^{-q(t)}$ restricted to M. Then there exists some absolute constant $C > 0$ depending only on r, R and ω such that*

$$|\mathbf{E}(\tau_{1m_1} + \tau_{2m_2} + \ldots + \tau_{\nu m_\nu})(\tau_{1p_1} + \tau_{2p_2} + \ldots + \tau_{\nu p_\nu})| \leq \frac{C}{k^{\nu-1}}$$

for all $m_1, \ldots, m_\nu, p_1, \ldots, p_\nu$, and

$$|\mathbf{E}(\tau_{1m_1} + \tau_{2m_2} + \ldots + \tau_{\nu m_\nu})(\tau_{1p_1} + \tau_{2p_2} + \ldots + \tau_{\nu p_\nu})| \leq \frac{C}{k^{\nu}}$$

as long as $m_j \neq p_j$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, \nu$.

To simplify the problem we use the following lemma:

Lemma 5.2. *Let M_1, M_2 be any subspaces of codimension $\nu - 1$ such that $M_1 \cap \ker(q) = M_2 \cap \ker(q) = \{0\}$. Then*

$$\mathbf{E_1}(\tau_{1m_1} + \ldots + \tau_{\nu m_\nu})(\tau_{1p_1} + \ldots + \tau_{\nu p_\nu}) = \mathbf{E_2}(\tau_{1m_1} + \ldots + \tau_{\nu m_\nu})(\tau_{1p_1} + \ldots + \tau_{\nu p_\nu}),$$

where $\mathbf{E_1}$ is taking the expected value over the distribution with density proportional to $e^{-q(t)}$ restricted to M_1, and $\mathbf{E_2}$ the expected value over the distribution with density proportional to $e^{-q(t)}$ restricted to M_2.

Proof. There is a unique linear map $S : M_1 \to M_2$ such that

$$St = t + u \text{ with } u \in \ker(q) \text{ for all } t \in M_1.$$

As $\det(q|_{M_1}) \det(S) = \det(q|_{M_2})$, and $e^{-q(t)} = e^{-q(St)}$, we get that the push forward of the probability measure proportional to $e^{-q(t)}$ restricted to M_1 by S is equal to the probability measure proportional to $e^{-q(t)}$ restricted to M_2. Furthermore, $(\tau_{1m_1} + \ldots + \tau_{\nu m_\nu})$ for any $m_1, \ldots m_\nu$ is unchanged when replacing t by St. Therefore

$$\mathbf{E_1}(\tau_{1m_1} + \ldots + \tau_{\nu m_\nu})(\tau_{1p_1} + \ldots + \tau_{\nu p_\nu}) = \mathbf{E_2}(\tau_{1m_1} + \ldots + \tau_{\nu m_\nu})(\tau_{1p_1} + \ldots + \tau_{\nu p_\nu}$$

as required. □

5.1. **Inverses.** Let C be an arbitrary constant, whose value will be set later. Let B be the positive semi-definite symmetric matrix such that $q(t) = \langle t, Bt\rangle$, and let $M = \text{im}(B)$. Let B_M^{-1} denote the inverse of B when restricted to M. Let e_j be a standard basis vector of $\mathbb{R}^{k_1+\ldots+k_\nu}$. Using the calculation for $\nabla q(t)$ in Equation 3.1.1

$$Be_j = C(j)k^{\nu-1}e_j + w_j'$$

where there exist constants $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$ depending only on r, R and ω such that

$$\gamma_1 \leq C(j) \leq \gamma_2. \tag{5.1.1}$$

Furthermore, if C' is picked large enough,

$$||w_j'||_\infty \leq C'k^{\nu-2}. \tag{5.1.2}$$

Also, by Lemma 4.1, if T is the orthogonal projection onto M, we can write

$$Te_j = e_j + w_j, \tag{5.1.3}$$

where

$$||w_j||_\infty = \Theta(1/k). \tag{5.1.4}$$

Therefore

$$BTe_j = B(e_j + w_j) = C(j)k^{\nu-1}e_j + w_j' + Bw_j. \tag{5.1.5}$$

At this point we note that due to the restricted domain of B_M^{-1}, we must take care when applying it to an arbitrary vector. In particular,

$$B_M^{-1}Bv = Tv \text{ for } v \in \mathbb{R}^{k_1+k_2+\ldots+k_\nu},$$

$B_M^{-1}(v_1 + v_2) = B_M^{-1}Tv_1 + B_M^{-1}Tv_2$ for all $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{k_1+\ldots+k_\nu}$ such that $v_1 + v_2 \in M$. Taking B_M^{-1} of both sides of Equation 5.1.5 gives

$$Te_j = B_M^{-1}\left(C(j)k^{\nu-1}e_j + w_j' + Bw_j\right) = C(j)k^{\nu-1}B_M^{-1}Te_j + B_M^{-1}Tw_j' + Tw_j.$$

Solving for $B_M^{-1}Te_j$ and applying Equation 5.1.3 we get

$$B_M^{-1}Te_j = \frac{1}{k^{\nu-1}C(j)}\left(e_j + w_j - B_M^{-1}Tw_j' - Tw_j\right),$$

which we can write as

$$B_M^{-1}Te_j = \frac{1}{C(j)k^{\nu-1|}}\left(e_j + w_j''\right), \text{ where } w_j'' = w_j - B_M^{-1}Tw_j' - Tw_j. \tag{5.1.6}$$

We conclude by finding an upper bound on $||w_j''||_\infty$.

Lemma 5.3. *There exists some constant $C > 0$ depending only on r, R and ω such that for all j,*

$$||w_j''||_\infty \leq \frac{C}{k}.$$

Proof. For any $1 \leq i \leq k_1 + \ldots + k_\nu$,

$$\left|\left\langle w_j'', e_i\right\rangle\right| = \left|\langle w_j, e_i\rangle\right| + \left|\left\langle B_M^{-1} T w_j', e_i\right\rangle\right| + \left|\langle T w_j, e_i\rangle\right|. \tag{5.1.7}$$

By Equation 5.1.4, we have

$$\left|\langle w_j, e_i\rangle\right| \leq \frac{1}{2\omega k}. \tag{5.1.8}$$

Furthermore using the basis $u_1, \ldots, u_{\nu-1}$ for $M^\perp$ in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we can write

$$T w_j = w_j - \sum_{l=1}^{\nu-1} \frac{\langle w_j, u_l\rangle}{\langle u_l, u_l\rangle} u_l.$$

Observing that $||u_l||_1 \leq ||u_1||_1$ for all l, and that

$$||u_1||_1 = k_1(k_2' + \ldots + k_\nu')^2 + \sum_{p=2}^{\nu-1} k_p k_p'^2 \leq \nu^2 k^{2\nu-1},$$

along with the trivial inequality that for all vectors x and y

$$|\langle x, y\rangle| \leq ||x||_\infty ||y||_1,$$

we get

$$\left|\langle w_j, u_l\rangle\right| \leq \frac{\nu^2}{\omega^{2\nu-1}} k^{2\nu-2}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\left|\langle u_l, e_i\rangle\right| \leq (\nu-1)^2 k^{\nu-1},$$

and

$$\left|\langle u_l, u_l\rangle\right| \geq \omega^{\nu-1} k^{\nu-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\left|\langle T w_j, e_i\rangle\right| \leq \left((\nu-2)\frac{\nu^2(\nu-1)^2}{\omega^{3\nu-2}} + \frac{(\nu-1)^2}{\omega^{2\nu-1}(\nu-1)^2 + \nu - 2}\right)\frac{1}{k}.$$

so if C' is picked large enough,

$$\left|\langle T w_j, e_i\rangle\right| \leq \frac{C'}{k}. \tag{5.1.9}$$

Using that B_M^{-1} is a self-adjoint operator on M,

$$\left|\left\langle B_M^{-1} T w_j', e_i\right\rangle\right| = \left|\left\langle T w_j', B_M^{-1} T e_i\right\rangle\right|,$$

which we can use with Equation 5.1.6 to get

$$\left|\left\langle B_M^{-1} T w_j', e_i\right\rangle\right| = \left|\left\langle T w_j', \frac{1}{C(j)k^{\nu-1}}\left(e_i + w_i - B_M^{-1} T w_i' - T w_i\right)\right\rangle\right|.$$

By 5.1.2, similar to w_j above we have

$$||Tw_j'||_\infty \leq C'k^{\nu-2}. \tag{5.1.10}$$

Hence

$$\left|\left\langle Tw_j', e_i\right\rangle\right| \leq C'k^{\nu-2}. \tag{5.1.11}$$

Using that $|\langle a_1, a_2\rangle| \leq ||a_1||_\infty ||a_2||_\infty n$ for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, along with 5.1.10 and Lemma 4.1 gives us

$$\left|\left\langle Tw_j', w_i\right\rangle\right| \leq\leq C'\frac{\nu(\nu-1)^2C'}{\omega^{2\nu}(\nu-1)^2+\omega(\nu-2)}k^{\nu-2}. \tag{5.1.12}$$

Using 5.1.10 and 5.1.9 gives

$$\left|\left\langle Tw_j', Tw_i\right\rangle\right| \leq (C')^2k^{\nu-3}(k_1+\ldots+k_\nu) \leq \frac{C'^2\nu}{\omega}k^{\nu-2}. \tag{5.1.13}$$

Lastly, by Section 3 B_M^{-1} is positive definite on M with largest eigenvalue no larger than $C'/k^{\nu-1}$ if C' picked large enough, so

$$\left|\left\langle Tw_j', A^{-1}Tw_i'\right\rangle\right| \leq \frac{C'}{k^{\nu-1}}||Tw_j'||||Tw_i'||.$$

By 5.1.10 we get that

$$||Tw_j'|| \leq \sqrt{\nu}C'k^{\nu-1.5)}, \text{ so}$$

$$\left|\left\langle Tw_j', B_M^{-1}Tw_i'\right\rangle\right| \leq \nu(C')^3k^{\nu-2}. \tag{5.1.14}$$

Combining 5.1.11, 5.1.12, 5.1.13, and 5.1.14, along with 5.1.1, there is some constant $C_1 > 0$ depending only on r, R and ω such that

$$\left|\left\langle B_M^{-1}Tw_j', e_i\right\rangle\right| \leq \frac{C_1}{k}.$$

Combining this with 5.1.8 and 5.1.9 into 5.1.7, we get that there exists some constant $C_2 > 0$ depending only on r, R and ω such that

$$||w_j''||_\infty \leq \frac{C_2}{k}. \tag{5.1.15}$$

□

5.2. **Correlations of Multivariate Normal Random Variables.** The last observation we need is:

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\psi(z) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive definite quadratic form, and let D be the positive definite matrix such that $\psi(z) = \langle z, Dz\rangle$. Let $l_1(z) = \langle v_1, z\rangle$, $l_2(z) = \langle v_2, z\rangle$ where*

$$v_i = (v_i^1, \ldots, v_i^d) \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

If z is drawn from the distribution with density proportional to $e^{-\psi(z)/2}$, then

$$\mathbf{E}(l_1(z)l_2(z)) = \left\langle v_1, D^{-1}v_2\right\rangle.$$

Proof. By linearity of expectation we can write

$$\mathbf{E}(l_1(z)l_2(z)) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} v_1^i v_2^j \mathbf{E}(z^i z^j) \text{ for } z = (z^1, \ldots, z^d).$$

D^{-1} is exactly the matrix whose entries are $\mathbf{E}(z^i z^j)$, so the sum decomposes into $\langle v_1, D^{-1}v_2\rangle$ which completes the proof. □

We are now ready to prove Lemma 5.1.

Proof. By 5.2, it suffices to prove the result only for M the space orthogonal to $\ker(q)$. By 5.4, if t is drawn from M with distribution with density proportional to $e^{-q(t)}$ then for $t = (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{k_1+k_2+\ldots+k_\nu})$,

$$\mathbf{E}(\chi_i\chi_j) = \left\langle Te_i, B_M^{-1}Te_j\right\rangle.$$

By Equations 5.1.3 and 5.1.6 we can write this as

$$\mathbf{E}(\chi_i\chi_j) = \left\langle e_i + w_i, \frac{1}{C(j)k^{\nu-1}}\left(e_j + w_j''\right)\right\rangle.$$

Then

$$|\mathbf{E}(\chi_i\chi_j)| \le \frac{1}{C(j)k^{\nu-1}}\left(|\langle e_i, e_j\rangle| + \left|\left\langle e_i, w_j''\right\rangle\right| + |\langle w_i, e_j\rangle| + \left|\left\langle w_i, w_j''\right\rangle\right|\right).$$

By Lemma 5.3, there exists some constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\left|\left\langle e_i, w_j''\right\rangle\right| \le \frac{C_2}{k}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\left|\left\langle w_i, w_j''\right\rangle\right| \le ||w_i||_\infty ||w_|''|_\infty (k_1 + \ldots + k_\nu) \le \frac{\nu C'^2}{k}.$$

As $|\langle e_i, e_j\rangle|$ is either 1 or 0 if $i = j$ or $i \neq j$, by 5.1.1 we get

$$|\mathbf{E}(\chi_i\chi_j)| \le \frac{1}{\gamma_2 k^{\nu-1}}\left(\delta_{ij} + \left(C_2 + \nu C'^2\right)\frac{1}{k}\right).$$

In particular there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\mathbf{E}(\chi_i \chi_j)| \leq \frac{C}{\nu^2 k^\nu} \text{ if } i \neq j, \text{ otherwise}$$

$$\left|\mathbf{E}(\chi_i^2)\right| \leq \frac{C}{\nu^2 k^{\nu-1}}.$$

Distributing gives that for $t = (\tau_{ij})$,

$$|\mathbf{E}\left((\tau_{m_1 1} + \ldots + \tau_{m_\nu \nu})(\tau_{p_1 1} + \ldots + \tau_{p_\nu \nu})\right)| \leq \frac{C}{k^{\nu-1}} \text{ for all } m_1, \ldots, m_\nu, p_1, \ldots, p_\nu, \text{ and}$$

$$|\mathbf{E}\left((\tau_{m_1 1} + \ldots + \tau_{m_\nu \nu})(\tau_{p_1 1} + \ldots + \tau_{p_\nu \nu})\right)| \leq \frac{C}{k^\nu} \text{ if } m_1 \neq p_1,\ m_2 \neq p_2, \ldots, m_\nu \neq p_\nu$$

which completes the proof. □

6. The Third Degree Term

The main theorem of this section is:

Theorem 6.1. *Let $u_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu}$ be Gaussian random variables for $1 \leq m_j \leq k_j$, with $\omega k \leq k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_\nu \leq k$ such that for some constant $\theta > 0$*

$$\mathbf{E}u^2_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu} \leq \frac{\theta}{k^{\nu-1}} \textit{ for all } m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_\nu \textit{ and}$$

$$|\mathbf{E}u_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu} u_{p_1,\ldots,p_\nu}| \leq \frac{\theta}{k^\nu} \textit{ if } m_j \neq p_j \textit{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq \nu.$$

Let

$$U = \sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu=1}^{k_1,\ldots,k_\nu} u^3_{m_1 \ldots m_\nu}.$$

Then

$$\left|\mathbf{E}e^{iU} - 1\right| \leq \frac{C}{k^{\nu-2}},$$

where C is a constant depending only on θ and ω.

When $(t_{11}, t_{1\ldots 21}, \ldots t_{k_1 1}, t_{21}, \ldots, t_{k_\nu \nu})$ is drawn from the distribution with density proportional to $e^{-q(x)}$ restricted to L, then the random variables $t_{1m_1} + t_{2m_2} + \ldots + t_{\nu m_\nu} = u_{m_1 \ldots m_\nu}$ satisfy the requirements of the above theorem by Lemma 5.1. We will apply this theorem in the proof of Theorem 2.2 to the expected value of $e^{if(x)}$ where $f(x)$ is the function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu} \left(\zeta_{m_1 \ldots m_\nu} + \zeta^2_{m_1 \ldots m_\nu}\right) \left(2\zeta_{m_1 \ldots m_\nu} + 1\right) \left(t_{1m_1} + \ldots + t_{\nu m_\nu}\right)^3.$$

Proof. Wick's formula, as given in [Zv97], for the expected value of a product of Gaussian random variables is the following: Let $w_1, \ldots, w_l$ be Gaussian random variables with expected value of 0. Then

$$\mathbf{E}w_1 \ldots w_l = 0 \text{ if } l \text{ is odd, and}$$

$$\mathbf{E}w_1 \ldots w_l = \sum \left(\mathbf{E}w_{i_1}w_{i_2}\right) \ldots \left(Ew_{i_{l-1}}w_{i_l}\right) \text{ if } l \text{ is even}$$

where the sum is taken over all unordered pairings of the set of indices $1, 2, \ldots, l$. In particular

$$\mathbf{E}w^6 = 15\mathbf{E}w^2, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{E}w_1^3 w_2^3 = 9\left(\mathbf{E}w_1^2\right)\left(\mathbf{E}w_2^2\right)\left(\mathbf{E}w_1 w_2\right) + 6\left(\mathbf{E}w_1 w_2\right)^3.$$

For the random variables $u_{m_1 \ldots m_\nu}$ of Theorem 6.1,

$$\left|\mathbf{E}(u^3_{m_1 \ldots m_\nu} u^3_{p_1 \ldots p_\nu})\right| = O\left(\frac{\Theta^3}{k^{3\nu-3}}\right) \text{ for all } m_1, \ldots, m_\nu, p_1, ..., p_\nu, \text{ and}$$

$$\left|\mathbf{E}(u^3_{m_1 \ldots m_\nu} u^3_{p_1 \ldots p_\nu})\right| = O\left(\frac{\theta^3}{k^{3\nu-2}}\right) \text{ if } m_j \neq p_j \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, \nu.$$

There are $O(k^{2\nu})$ total choices of $m_1, \ldots, m_\nu, p_1, \ldots, p_\nu$, and $O(k^{2\nu-1})$ of them in which there exists j such pair is equal, so

$$EU^2 = O\left(\frac{\theta^3}{k^{\nu-2}}\right).$$

As V is Gaussian and $EV = 0$,

$$Ee^{iV} = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}EV^2\right) = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{k^{\nu-2}}\right).$$

by Wick's formula. So it suffices to show that

$$\left|Ee^{iU} - Ee^{iV}\right| = O\left(\frac{1}{k^{nu-2}}\right).$$

For this we use the estimate that

$$\left|e^{i\xi} - (1 + i\xi)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\xi^2 \text{ for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}$$

to get that

$$\left|Ee^{iU} - Ee^{iV}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}EU^2 + \frac{1}{2}EV^2 = O\left(\frac{1}{k^{\nu-2}}\right),$$

which completes the proof. □

7. The Outside Region

In this section we apply Lemma 14 of [BH10] to the case of P as in Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 7.1. *Let D be a $d \times n$ integer matrix with the columns $d_1, \ldots, d_n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. For each $1 \leq l \leq d$, let $Y_l \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ be a non-empty finite set such that $Dy = e_l$, where e_l is the l-th standard basis vector. Let $\psi_l : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be the quadratic form*

$$\psi_l(x) = \frac{1}{|Y_l|} \sum_{y \in Y_l} \langle y, x \rangle^2 \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and let ρ_l be the maximum eigenvalue of ψ_l. Suppose further that for $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n > 0$,

$$\zeta_j(1 + \zeta_j) \geq \alpha \text{ for some } \alpha > 0 \text{ and } j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Then for any $t = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_d)$ and each $l = 1, \ldots, d$, we have

$$\left| \prod_{=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 + \zeta_j - \zeta_j e^{i\langle a_j, t \rangle}} \right| \leq \left(1 + \frac{2}{5} \alpha \pi^2 \right)^{-m_l} \text{ where } m_l = \left\lfloor \frac{\tau_l^2}{\rho_l \pi^2} \right\rfloor.$$

The left hand side of the final inequality of this lemma is exactly the function we are integrating in Equation 2.0.1. Recall that A is the $d \times n$ matrix such that the ν-directional multi-index transportation polytope is defined by the constraints $x \geq 0$ and $Ax = b$. We will apply the lemma above in the case of $D = QA$ where Q is the orthogonal projection onto L, and we will be restricting ourselves to L. The columns of A correspond to points in our $k_1 \times k_2 \times \ldots \times k_\nu$ array - each entry has only 0s and 1s, with each 1 corresponding to a margin that the point in the array lies in. If $x \in \mathbb{R}^{k_1 k_2 \ldots k_\nu}$, then we can think of x as a $k_1 \times k_2 \times \ldots \times k_\nu$ array of numbers, and Ax has as its entries the sums of the margins of x, and QAx has as its entries the sums of the margins except for $\nu - 1$ of them. We will denote

$$y = (\xi_{m_1 \ldots m_\nu}) \text{ with } 1 \leq m_j \leq \text{ for all } j$$

as an array of numbers, and construct sets $Y_1, \ldots, Y_{k_1 + k_2 + k_\nu - \nu + 1}$ of arrays y that satisfy the requirements of the above lemma to prove the following.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be the columns of the matrix A defining a ν directional multi-index transportation polytope. Let $(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n)$ be the point maximizing $g(x)$ as described in Theorem 2.2. Let*

$$F(t) = \prod_{=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 + \zeta_j - \zeta_j e^{i\langle a_j, t \rangle}} \text{ for } t = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_d).$$

Then there exists some constant $c > 0$ depending only on ω, r and R such that

$$|F(t)| \leq e^{-c||t||_\infty k^{\nu - 1}} \text{ for all } t \in L.$$

Proof. For fixed $1 \leq p < k_2$, let Y_{k_1+p} (corresponding to a margin in the second direction) be the set of hypercube arrays labeled with $m_1, m_3, m_4, \ldots, m_\nu$ with $1 \leq m_j \leq k_j$ for each $j \neq 2$, and let $y_{m_1 m_3 m_4 \ldots m_\nu}$ that have a 1 in the $m_1 p m_3 \ldots m_\nu$ position and a -1 in the $m_1 k_2 m_3 \ldots m_\nu$ position, and a 0 in every other position. There are k_2' such arrays, and the corresponding quadratic form is

$$\psi_{k_1+p}(x) = \frac{1}{k_2'} \sum_{m,p} \left(\xi_{m_1 p m_2 \ldots m_\nu} - \xi_{m_1 k_2 m_3 \ldots m_\nu}\right)^2.$$

As every term of the above sum has variables independent of the other terms, this quadratic form has eigenvalues that are all $\frac{2}{k_2'}$. Furthermore, for every $y \in Y_{k_1+p}$, $Ay = e_{k_1+p} - e_{k_1+k_2}$, so $QAy = e_{k_1+p}$.

Similarly for fixed $1 \leq p < k_3$, let $Y_{k_1+k_2+p}$ (corresponding to a margin in the third direction) be the set of all hypercubes labeled by $m_1 m_2 m_4 \ldots m_\nu$ with $1 \leq m_j \leq k_j$ for all $j \neq 3$, and let $y_{m_1 m_2 p m_4 \ldots m_\nu}$ have a 1 in an $m_1 m_2 p m_4 \ldots m_\nu$ position and a -1 in the $m_1 m_2 k_3 m_4 \ldots m_\nu$ position, and a 0 in every other position. There are k_3' such arrays, the corresponding quadratic form has eigenvalue $\frac{2}{k_3'}$, and for each $y \in Y_{k_1+k_2+p}$, $Ay = e_{k_1+k_2+p} - e_{k_1+k_2+k_3}$, so $QAy = e_{k_1+k_2+p}$.

This process can be repeated for $1 \leq p < k_j$ for any $2 \leq j \leq \nu$ to get an array $Y_{k_1+\ldots+k_{j-1}+p}$ of hypercubes such that the corresponding quadratic form has eigenvalue $\frac{2}{k_j'}$ and for all $y \in Y_{k_1+\ldots+k_{j-1}+p}$, $QAy = e_{k_1+\ldots+k_{j-1}+p}$.

We now construct Y_p corresponding to any margin in the first direction. For any choice of $m_1, m_2, m_3, \ldots, m_\nu$ with $1 \leq m_j < k_j$ for each $2 \leq j \leq \nu$ and $1 \leq p' \leq k_1$ with $m_1 \neq p$, let $y_{m_1 m_2 \ldots m_\nu}$ be the array which contains a $-(\nu-1)$ in the $m_1 m_2 m_3 \ldots m_\nu$ position, a 1 in the $p m_2 m_3 \ldots m_\nu$ position, and a 1 in every $m_1 m_2 \ldots m_{j-1} k_j m_{j+1} \ldots m_\nu$ position. Then the sum over every margin except for the pth margin in the first direction and the last margin in every other direction are zero, and the sum over the pth margin in the first direction is 1. Therefore for all $y \in Y_p$, $QAy = e_p$ as required. Furthermore there are $\prod(k_j - 1)$ such points, and the corresponding quadratic form is

$$\psi_p(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{1}{k_j - 1} \sum_{m_1, \ldots m_\nu} \left(-2\xi_{m_1 \ldots m_\nu} + \xi_{p m_2 \ldots m_\nu} + \xi_{p k_2 m_3 \ldots m_\nu} + \ldots + \xi_{p m_2 \ldots m_{\nu-1} k_\nu}\right)^2.$$

In general for real numbers $\gamma_1, \ldots \gamma_{\nu+1}$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\nu+1} \gamma_i\right)^2 \leq (\nu+1)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\nu+1} \gamma_i^2,$$

so

$$\psi_p(x) \leq \frac{(\nu+1)^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{\nu}(k_j-1)^2} \sum_{m_1 \neq p,\ldots,m_\nu} 4\xi^2_{m_1\ldots m_\nu} + \xi^2_{pm_2\ldots m_\nu} + \xi^2_{pk_2m_3\ldots m_\nu} + \ldots + \xi^2_{pm_2\ldots m_{\nu-1}k_\nu}.$$

This latter quadratic form has as its eigenvectors the standard unit basis vectors, and the largest eigenvalue it has is bounded by

$$\frac{4(\nu+1)^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{\nu}(k_j-1)} \max_{j=1,\ldots,\nu} \{k_j - 1\}.$$

L is spanned by all the standard basis vectors with the exception of $e_{k_1+\ldots+k_j}$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, \nu$. For every other e_l we have constructed a set Y_l and a corresponding quadratic form ψ_l with maximum eigenvalue $O(1/k^{\nu-1})$ satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 7.1, completing the proof. □

8. The Main Proof

We are now ready to prove the main result, using the reduction to 2.0.2.

Proof. First we observe that

$$\int_L e^{-q(t)}dt = \frac{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{k_1+\ldots+k_\nu-\nu+1}}}{\sqrt{\det(q|_L)}}.$$

By Lemma 3.3 there are $k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_\nu - \nu + 1$ eigenvalues of $q|_L$, of which $\nu - 1$ are $\Theta(k^{\nu-1})$ and the rest are $\Theta(k^\nu)$, so

$$\frac{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{k_1+\ldots+k_\nu-\nu+1}}}{\sqrt{\det(q|_L)}} = e^{-\Theta(k\ln(k))}.$$

Therefore there exists some absolute constant c depending only on ω, r, R and ν such that

$$\int_L e^{-q(t)}dt \geq e^{-ck\ln(k)}. \tag{8.0.1}$$

We will split Π up into three regions: an outside region where we use our outside integral theorem to show that the integral of $F(x)$ is negligible, a middle region where we use our variance theorem to show that the integral of F is negligible, and an inner region where the integral of F and $e^{-q(x)}$ are asymptotically equal to each other.

If

$$X_1 = \left\{ t \in \Pi \ : \ ||t||_\infty \geq D_1 \frac{\sqrt{\ln(k)}}{\sqrt{k}} \right\}$$

for some constant $D_1 > 0$, then by Lemma 7.2

$$\int\limits_{X_1} |F(t)|dt \leq (2\pi)^{\nu k-2} e^{-c_1 D_1^2 k \ln(k)}$$

for some constant absolute c_1 depending only on ω and ν. Then by Lemma 8.0.1, as long as D_1 is picked such that $c_1 D_1^2 > c$,

$$\int\limits_{X_1} |F(t)|dt \leq e^{-(\gamma_1 D_1^2 - c)k \ln(k) + 3\ln(2\pi)k} \int\limits_{L} e^{-q(t)}dt \leq \frac{C_1}{k^{\cdot \nu - 2.5}} \int\limits_{L} e^{-q(t)}dt \tag{8.0.2}$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$ depending only on ω, r and R.

For the middle and inside regions, we can use the Taylor polynomial estimate:

$$\left| e^{i\xi} - 1 - i\xi + \frac{\xi^2}{2} + i\frac{\xi^3}{6} \right| \leq \frac{\xi^4}{24} \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}$$

to write

$$e^{i\langle a_j, t\rangle} = 1 + i\langle a_j, t\rangle - \frac{\langle a_j, t\rangle^2}{2} - i\frac{\langle a_j, t\rangle^3}{6} + g_j(t)\langle a_j, t\rangle^4$$

where $|g_j(t)| \leq \frac{1}{24}$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, k_1 k_2 \ldots k_\nu$. Therefore

$$F(t) = e^{-i\langle b,t\rangle} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 + i\langle a_j, t\rangle - \frac{\langle a_j, t\rangle^2}{2} - i\frac{\langle a_j, t\rangle^3}{6} + g_j(t)\langle a_j, t\rangle^4 \right).$$

Furthermore, using

$$\left| \ln(1+\xi) - \xi + \frac{\xi^2}{2} - \frac{\xi^3}{3} \right| \leq \frac{|\xi|^4}{2} \text{ for all complex } |\xi| \leq 1/2,$$

plus

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \zeta_j a_j = b,$$

we can write

$$F(t) = e^{-q(t) - if(t) + g(t)}, \text{ where}$$

$$q(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu} \left(\zeta^2_{m_1 \ldots m_\nu} + \zeta_{m_1 \ldots m_\nu} \right) \left(\tau_{m_1 1} + \tau_{m_2 2} + \ldots + \tau_{m_\nu \nu} \right)^2$$

is the quadratic form that we have considered in Sections 3 through 6,

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu} \left(\zeta_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu} + \zeta^2_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu} \right) \left(2\zeta_{m_1,\ldots,m_\nu} + 1 \right) \left(\tau_{m_1 1} + \tau_{m_2 2} + \ldots + \tau_{m_\nu \nu} \right)^3,$$

is the cubic polynomial considered in Section 6, and

$$|g(t)| \leq 2 \sum_{m_1 \ldots m_\nu} \left(1 + \zeta^4_{m_1 \ldots m_\nu}\right) \left(\tau_{m_1 1} + \tau_{m_2 2} + \ldots + \tau_{m_\nu \nu}\right)^4 \tag{8.0.3}$$

as long as $||t||_\infty \leq 1/(2\nu)$. For $t \in \Pi \setminus X_1$, this inequality is true as long as k is large enough. In $\Pi \setminus X_1$, using

$$(t_{m_1 1} + \ldots + t_{m_\nu \nu})^2 \leq \nu^2 ||t||^2_\infty \text{ for all } m_1, \ldots, m_\nu,$$

we get that

$$|g(t)| \leq D_2 \frac{\ln(k)}{k} q(t)$$

for some large constant $D_2 > 0$. Let

$$X_2 = \left\{ t \in \Pi \setminus X_1 : \ ||t||_\infty \geq k^{-(\nu - 1.25)/2} \right\}.$$

Then

$$\int\limits_{X_2} |F(t)| dt \leq \int\limits_{X_2} e^{-(1 - D_2 \ln(k)/k) q(t)} dt.$$

If we do the change of variables $t \mapsto t\sqrt{1 - D_2 \ln(k)/k}$ we get

$$\int\limits_{X_2} |F(t)| dt \leq (1 - D_2 \ln(k)/k)^{-(k_1 + \ldots + k_\nu - \nu + 1)/2} \int\limits_{X_2 \sqrt{1 - D_2 \ln(k)/k}} e^{-q(t)} dt.$$

As k goes to infinity

$$(1 - D_2 \ln(k)/k)^{-(k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_\nu)/2} \leq (1 - D_2 \ln(k)/k)^{-\nu/(2\omega) k},$$

so there is some constant $D_3 > 0$ such that

$$(1 - D_3 \ln(k)/k)^{-(k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_\nu)/2} \leq D_3 k^{D_2 \nu/(2\omega)}.$$

Also, $\sqrt{1 - D_2 \ln(k)/k} \geq 1/2$ for large enough values of k, so by Lemma 4.4, there is some absolute constant $\gamma_2 > 0$ such that

$$\int\limits_{X_2} |F(t)| dt \leq D_3 k^{D_2 \nu/(2\omega)} k e^{-\gamma_2 k^{.25}} \int\limits_L e^{-q(t)} dt.$$

The factor

$$D_3 k^{D_2 3\omega/2} k^2 e^{-\gamma_2 k^{.25}}$$

is asymptotically negligible compared to $1/k^{\nu - 2.5}$, so there exists some constant $C_2 > 0$ depending only on ω, r and R such that

$$\int\limits_{X_2} |F(t)| dt \leq \frac{C_2}{k^{\nu - 2.5}} \int\limits_L e^{-q(t)} dt. \tag{8.0.4}$$

Furthermore by Lemma 4.4 we also get

$$\int\limits_{X_1 \cup X_2} e^{-q(t)} dt \leq \frac{C_3}{k^{\nu-2.5}} \int\limits_{L} e^{-q(t)} dt \tag{8.0.5}$$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$ depending only on ω, r and R.

Let

$$X_3 = \left\{ t \in L \ : \ ||t||_\infty \leq k^{-(\nu-1.25)/2} \right\}.$$

Inside of X_3 we have using 8.0.3 that

$$|g(t)| \leq \left(1 + R^4\right) \sum_{j=1}^{k_1 k_2 \ldots k_\nu} \left(\frac{\nu}{k^{(\nu-1.25)/2}} \right)^4 \leq \frac{\nu^4 (1 + R^4)}{k^{\nu-2.5}}. \tag{8.0.6}$$

By Theorem 6.1, there exists some constant $D_4 > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int\limits_{L} e^{-q(t)} dt - \int\limits_{L} e^{-q(t)+if(t)} dt \right| \leq \frac{D_4}{k^{\nu-2}} \int\limits_{L} e^{-q(t)} dt.$$

Furthermore, as $|e^{if(t)}| = 1$, by Lemma 4.4

$$\left| \int\limits_{L \setminus X_3} e^{-q(t)+if(t)} dt \right| \leq \frac{C_3}{k^{\nu-2.5}} \int\limits_{L} e^{-q(t)} dt.$$

Combining these we get that

$$\left| \int\limits_{L} e^{-q(t)} dt - \int\limits_{X_3} e^{-q(t)+if(t)} dt \right| \leq \frac{D_4 + C_3}{k^{\nu-2.5}} \int\limits_{L} e^{-q(t)} dt. \tag{8.0.7}$$

Furthermore,

$$\left| \int\limits_{X_3} e^{-q(t)+if(t)+g(t)} dt - \int\limits_{X_3} e^{-q(t)+if(t)} dx \right| \leq \sup_{t \in X_3} \left| e^{g(t)} - 1 \right| \int\limits_{X_3} \left| e^{-q(t)+if(t)} \right| dt.$$

Combining Equation 8.0.7 and the triangle inequality, along with 8.0.6 and the convexity of e^ξ we get

$$\left| \int\limits_{X_3} e^{-q(t)+if(t)+g(t)} dt - \int\limits_{L} e^{-q(t)} dx \right| \leq \left(\left(e^{\frac{\nu^4(1+R^4)}{k^{\nu-2.5}}} - 1 \right) + \frac{C_3 + D_4}{k^{\nu-2.5}} \right) \int\limits_{L} e^{-q(t)} dt.$$

Using the approximation

$$\left|e^{\xi}-1\right| \leq 2\xi \text{ if } |\xi| \leq 1,$$

we get that if k is large enough

$$\left(e^{\frac{81(1+R^4)}{k^{.6}}}-1\right) \leq \frac{162(1+R^4)}{k^{\nu-2.5}}.$$

Therefore, there exists some constant $C_4 > 0$ such that

$$\left|\int_{X_3} F(t)dt - \int_{X_3} e^{-q(t)}dt\right| \leq \frac{C_4}{k^{\nu-2.5}}. \tag{8.0.8}$$

Combining Equations 8.0.2, 8.0.4, 8.0.5, and 8.0.8, and letting $C = C_1+C_2+C_3+C_4$, we get

$$\left|\int_{L} e^{-q(t)}dt - \int_{\Pi} F(t)dt\right| \leq \frac{C}{k^{\nu-2.5}}.$$

□

References

[BH10] A. Barvinok and J.A. Hartigan, *An asymptotic formula for the number of non-negative integer matrices with prescribed row and column sums*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), 4323-43687.

[BH12] A. Barvinok and J.A. Hartigan, *Maximum entropy Gaussian approximations for the number of integer points and volumes of polytopes*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **45** (2010), no. 2, 252-289.

[CM10] R. Canfield and B.D. McKay, *Asymptotic enumeration of contingency tables with constant margins*, Combinatorica **30** (2010),655-680.

[GM07] C. Greenhill and B.D. McKay, *Asymptotic enumeration of sparse nonnegative integer matrices with specified row and column sums*, Advances in Applied Mathematics **41** (2008), 459-481.

[HJ85] R. Horn and C. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.

[LO04] J. De Loera, S. Onn, *All rational polytopes are transportation polytopes and all polytopal integer sets are contingency tables*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science **3064** (2004) 338-351.

[L+09] J. De Loera, E. Kim, S. Onn, F. Santos, *Graphs of Transportation Polytopes*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **116** (2009) 1306-1325.

[MS86] V. Milman and G. Schechtman, *Asymptotic Theory of Finite Dimensional Normed Spaces*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[Ta12] T. Tao, *Topics in Random Matrix Theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2012.

[Zv97] A. Zvonkin, *Matrix Integrals and Map Enumeration: an Accessible Introduction*, Mathematics and Computer Modelling **26** (1997), 281-304.